UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,343	02/20/2004	David Wortendyke	MS1-1825US	7693
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 08/19/200 S PLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERS	SIDE AVENUE SUITE	SYED, FARHAN M		
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/783	3,343	WORTENDYKE ET AL.		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		FARHA	AN M. SYED	2165		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOI WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO pe - Failure   Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nons of time may be available under the provision: (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comercial for reply is specified above, the maximum so reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an or will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIO o event, however, may and d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) file his action is <b>FINAL</b> . ince this application is in condition losed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action i for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matt	•	e merits is	
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the a) Of the above claim(s) is/a laim(s) is/a laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from				
9)∏ Tł	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)□ Tr A R	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are pplicant may not request that any objected the policies of the properties of the pro	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing( g the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyar uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice of 3) Informa	) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 2165

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims1-38 are pending.

## Response to Remarks/Argument

- 2. Applicant's arguments see pages 14-15, filed 14 April 2008, with respect to claims 7-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of a non-final office action, mailed 13 December 2007, has been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 14 April 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

(1) "References fail to teach or suggest evaluating multiple queries, no opcodes added during merging, and no removing of opcodes."

The Examiner disagrees and has addressed this argument in the rejection below.

(2) "Yan fails to disclose, teach, or suggest 'merging opcodes into an opcode tree, wherein no opcodes are added to the opcodes tree during an active merging."

The Examiner disagrees and has addressed this argument in the rejection below.

(3) "Altinel, Sailaja, and Yan, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest 'evaluating the input against multiple queries by evaluating common query expression of the multiple queries in parallel, at the same time; margining opcodes into

Art Unit: 2165

an opcode tree, wherein no opcodes are added to the opcode tree during an active merging, updating the opcode tree copy, wherein the opcode nodes are removed from the opcode tree while the opcode tree copy is used for query processing."

The Examiner disagrees and has addressed this argument in the rejection below.

(4) "Insufficient Evidence to Suggest Reason to Modify References."

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Altinel teaches efficient filtering of XML documents for selective dissemination of information. Sailaja teaches efficient matching of streaming XML documents and queries. Yan teaches the index structures for selective dissemination of information under the Boolean model to efficiently match documents against large number of profiles. The motivation to combine the three references is to perform efficient filtering of XML documents for large-scale information dissemination systems (Altinel, Abstract). Similarly, see Applicant's disclosure, page 2, lines 8-10, where the Applicant is attempting to significantly reduce overhead expenses associated with query processing (i.e. efficient querying).

Art Unit: 2165

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by a non-patent literature titled "Efficient Filtering of XML Documents for Selective Dissemination of Information" by Mehmet Altinel, et al., 26th VLDB Conference, 2000, pages 53-64 (previously presented and known hereinafter as Altinel) in view of a non-patent literature titled "On Efficient Matching of Streaming XML Documents and Queries" by Sailaja et al, University of British Columbia, Canada, 2002, pages 1-20 (previously presented and known hereinafter as Sailaja) and in further view of a non-patent literature titled "Index Structures for Selective Dissemination of Information Under the Boolean Model" by Yan et al., ACM Transactions on Database Systems, vol. 19, No. 2, June 1994, pages 332-364 (see IDS submitted on 26 September 2007 and known hereinafter as Yan).

As per claims 1, 7, 15, 24, and 35, Altinel teaches a method, comprising: receiving an input (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.)(Page 54, section 2.1); traversing an opcode tree that includes a plurality of opcode nodes which

together define opcodes that should be executed to evaluate a plurality of queries (i.e. "XPath provides a flexible way to specify path expressions. It treats an XML document as a tree of nodes; XPath expressions are patterns that can be matched to nodes in the XML tree." "In contrast, in SDI systems, large numbers of queries are stored, and the documents are individually matched to the queries." "In order to handle prefix evaluation, List Balance uses a stack which keeps track of the traversed element nodes in the document." The preceding text clearly indicates that an opcode tree is an XML document and opcode nodes are tree of nodes in an XML document.)(Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1); executing each of the opcode nodes in the opcode tree as each opcode node is encountered in the traversal to evaluate the plurality of queries against the input (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath gueries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1); and wherein a relationship between the opcodes (nodes in an XML document) (Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1) and the opcode tree (i.e. XML document) (Page 54, section 2.2; page 55, section 3; Page 59, section 5.1) is embedded in the opcodes that is created when a query is compiled (see XFilter engine which uses a sophisticated index structure and a modified Finite State Machine approach and represent queries using XPath language)(page 53).

Page 5

Altinel does not explicitly teach the method wherein the input comprises elemental language units; evaluating the input against multiple queries by evaluating common guery expressions of the multiple gueries in parallel, at the **same time**; generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes; hierarchical nature; maintaining the opcode tree that is used during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree; and updating the opcode tree copy.

Sailaja teaches the method wherein the input comprises elemental language Units (i.e. Figure 1 illustrates the elemental language units, where Parts (Q), (P,R), are elemental language units.)(Figure 1; Sections 1, 3, and 4); evaluating the input against multiple queries by evaluating common query expressions of the multiple queries in parallel, at the same time (i.e. "A more clever approach is to devise algorithm that makes a constant number of passes over the document and determine the queries answered by each of its element..." The Examiner the elements are common query expressions, evaluating the input against multiple queries as determine the queries. The Examiner further understands the limitation of parallel as a constant number of passes, because a naïve way to process queries one at a time is inefficient.)(Section 1, see Example 11); generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes (i.e. Figure 1 further exemplifies the generating at least some element units into opcode, where Part (Q), which exemplifies elemental language unit and opcodes which is exemplified by 'Name', 'Brand'. Since Sailaja focuses on XML, an ordinary person skilled in the art understands that XML tags are sets of instructions that are used to create 'Name' and 'Brand,' but not necessarily limited to just creating 'Name' and 'Brand'.)(Figure 1, Sections 1 and 4); hierarchical nature (i.e. Figure 1 clearly illustrates the hierarchical nature, which is a data tree node showing query labeling. To avoid cluttering of Figure 1, node numbers were omitted, however further explained in Example 11.)(Figure 1; Section 1); maintaining the opcode tree that is used during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree (i.e. "With each data tree node, we associate three lists: the QL (for query labeling) list, which will eventually contain those gueries answered by the (subtree rooted at the) node, a list called CML (for chain matching list) that tracks which queries have so far been matched and how far, and an

Art Unit: 2165

auxillary list called PL (for push list) that is necessary to manage CML..." The preceding text and Figures 3 and 4 illiustrates maintaining the opcode tree, which is tracking queries that have been answered and making a copy of the opcode tree is the three different lists QL, CML, and PL which are copies of the data tree node.) (Figure 3; Section 4); and updating the opcode tree copy (i.e. "With each data tree node, we associate three lists: the QL (for query labeling) list, which will eventually contain those queries answered by the (subtree rooted at the) node, a list called CML (for chain matching list) that tracks which queries have so far been matched and how far, and an auxillary list called PL (for push list) that is necessary to manage CML..." The preceding text clearly indicates the use of the auxillary list, PL, which pushes the list. An ordinary person skilled in the art understands that when a push is made, it clearly states that an update is being performed.) (Figure 3; Section 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Altinel with the teachings of Sailaja to include the method wherein the input comprises elemental language units; evaluating the input against multiple queries by evaluating common query expressions of the multiple queries in parallel, at the same time; generating at least some of the elemental language units into opcodes; hierarchical nature; maintaining the opcode tree that is used during processing by making a copy of the opcode tree; and updating the opcode tree copy with the motivation to perform efficient filtering of XML documents for large-scale information dissemination systems (Altinel, Abstract).

The combination of Altinel and Sailaja do not teach the method of merging opcodes into an opcode tree, wherein no opcodes are added to the opcode tree during an active merging, wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes; indexing branch opcodes to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques that are customized to a type of comparison.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,343

Page 8

Art Unit: 2165

Yan teaches the method of merging ("OR (v) operator is processed by merging (union) the lists")(page 335) opcodes (lists)(page 335) into an opcode tree (i.e. superset)(page 335), wherein no opcodes are added to the opcode tree during an active merging (i.e. see the implementation of the Counting method, where there is a known finite number of lists (i.e. opcodes), and therefore, the Examiner understands that no lists (i.e. opcodes) would be added during an active merge)(pages 346-348), wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes (i.e. "when a document arrives, we first construct the distinct-words set and occurrence table. We index the directory for each distinct word and read into memory its subtree")(page 341); indexing branch opcodes (i.e. index structures)(page 336) to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques (i.e. encoded)(page 337) that are customized to a type of comparison (i.e. "integers" Integers are an example of customized type of comparison. Another illustration would be to use strings of characters)(page 337).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Altinel with the teachings of Sailaja and with the further teachings of Yan to include the method of merging opcodes into an opcode tree, wherein no opcodes are added to the opcode tree during an active merging, wherein the language units have been parsed and compiled into opcodes; indexing branch opcodes to provide a framework for insertion of indexing techniques that are customized to a type of comparison with the motivation to perform efficient filtering of XML documents for large-scale information dissemination systems (Altinel, Abstract).

As per claim 2, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising using an intermediate result to execute an opcode node when the opcode node includes one or more ancestor opcode nodes that have been executed to derive the intermediate result (Page 56, section 4).

As per claim 3, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising executing a single opcode node to evaluate at least a portion of at least two of the plurality of queries (Page 54, section 2.1, 2.2; page 56, section 4, 4.1).

As per claims 4, 10, 23, and 27, Altinel teaches a method, the multiple queries further comprising Xpath queries (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual Xpath queries.")(Page 56, section 4, 4.1).

As per claims 5, 8, 19, 29, and 37, Altinel teaches a method, the executing step further comprising executing a branch node to execute multiple opcode nodes that depend from the branch node, the branch node including an indexed branch lookup function (i.e. Figure 5 depicts an example of executing multiple nodes in Figure 5, section a, titled Example Queries and Corresponding Path Nodes, where the path nodes are multiple opcode nodes that depend from the branch node.)(Page 59, Figure 5).

As per claims 6, 9, 31, 32 and 38, Altinel teaches a method, further comprising a hash function as the indexed branch lookup procedure (i.e. Figure 5 depicts an example of a

hash table. An ordinary person skilled in the art anticipates the use of a hash function when using a hash table.)(Page 59, Figure 5).

As per claim 11, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, further comprising at least one shared segment that corresponds to multiple queries (Page 56, section 4, 4.1; page 57, section 4.2).

As per claim 12, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, wherein a single execution of the shared segment evaluates at least a portion of each of the multiple queries (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 13, Altinel teaches an inverse query engine containing the opcode tree data structure (i.e. "For this purpose, similar to traditional SDI systems, the Filter Engine component of Xfilter contains an inverted index, called the Query Index.")(Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claims 14, 18, and 36, Altinel teaches an opcode tree data structure, further comprising a branch node that includes references to more than two dependent opcode nodes (i.e. Figure 3a, titled Example Queries and Corresponding Path Nodes clearly indicate that a branch node is '//b' and more than two dependent opcode nodes are /\*/c/d)(Page 57, Figure 3).

As per claim 16, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising an input module configured to receive an input (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.)(Page 54, section 2.1) that is evaluated against each of the plurality of queries when the query processor executes the opcode nodes (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 17, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further configured to receive a SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) message as the input that is evaluated against the plurality of queries (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

As per claim 20, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising an interim results cache that stores results of opcode node executions that are used in the execution of subsequent opcode nodes (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

As per claim 21, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, further comprising a filter table that stores the plurality of queries, the filter table further including a reference to the opcode tree (Page 54, section 2.1; page 55, section 2.2; page 56, section 4.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 22, Altinel teaches a query evaluation system, an opcode object common to multiple queries further comprising an opcode object that is in a similar location of an opcode object sequence at the beginning of the multiple queries (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 25, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, wherein the first segment of opcode nodes includes ancestor opcode nodes of the second opcode node (Page 56, section 4.1; page 57, section 4.2; page 58, sections 4.3, 5).

As per claim 26, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, the executing opcode nodes further comprising executing each opcode node a single time (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 28, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising executing one or more branch nodes to execute one or more opcode nodes that depend from the branch node (Page 56, sections 4, 4.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 30, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising executing one or more indexed branch nodes to execute a plurality of opcode nodes that depend from the branch node, the plurality of opcode nodes including a similar comparison function (Page 56, section 4.1).

As per claim 33, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising receiving an input that is evaluated against the plurality of queries using the opcode tree (i.e. "There are two main sets of inputs to the system: user profiles and data items (i.e., documents). User profiles describe the information preferences of individual users. In most systems these profiles are created by the users, typically by clicking on items in a Graphical User Interface." The preceding text clearly indicates that receiving an input is an input to the system by the user.)(Page 54, section 2.1).

As per claim 34, Altinel teaches a computer-readable media, further comprising a compiler configured to execute each query in the plurality of queries to derive the opcode nodes (i.e. "The Query Index is used to match documents to individual XPath queries." "The events that drive the execution of the Filter Engine are generated by the XML Parser (as described in the following section). In the XFilter execution model, a profile is considered to match a document when the final state of its FSM is reached. The Query Index is built over the states of the XPath queries." The preceding text clearly indicate that execution takes place within the Xfilter execution model, where opcode nodes, which are nodes within an XML documents, and plurality of queries are Xpath queries.)(Page 56, section 4.1).

Art Unit: 2165

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farhan M. Syed whose telephone number is 571-272-7191. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christian Chace can be reached on 571-272-4190. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/F. M. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2165

/Neveen Abel-Jalil/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165